

Exhibit 2 to the Olivia Weber Declaration

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP
RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiffs,
v.

BROADCOM INC.; BROCADE
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS LLC; and
EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00451

**BROADCOM INC.'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
SNMP RESEARCH, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**

Defendant Broadcom Inc. ("Broadcom"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby provides its first supplemental responses and objections to Plaintiff SNMP Research, Inc. ("SNMP")'s First Set of Requests for Admission ("Requests" or "RFAs"), served on December 26, 2020.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Broadcom's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, Broadcom's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. These responses and objections, while based on diligent inquiry and investigation by Broadcom, necessarily reflect only

the current state of Broadcom's knowledge, understanding and belief based upon the information reasonably available to Broadcom at this time.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to SNMP's RFAs, Broadcom does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all defenses, including that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom and venue is improper. Broadcom serves these responses and objections in reliance on prior representations made by counsel for Plaintiffs that they will not argue that such responses and objections constitute a waiver of any such defenses. Moreover, these responses and objections are served pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, which specified that "Defendants' participation in discovery while their motions to dismiss are pending (including propounding their own discovery) or the entry of a protective order will not be construed as a waiver of their personal jurisdiction or improper venue defenses." ECF No. 75 at 16-17. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Plaintiffs' RFAs, Broadcom does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Broadcom makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the RFAs or responses thereto to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action.

3. Broadcom expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

4. This introductory statement shall apply to each and every response given herein, and shall be incorporated by reference as though set forth in each response appearing below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections apply to all of the Requests and are incorporated by reference into each specific answer as if fully set forth therein. For particular emphasis, one or more of these general objections may be reiterated in a specific response. The absence or inclusion of any reiteration in a specific response is neither intended as, nor shall be construed as, a limitation or waiver of any general objection or any other specific objection made herein. Broadcom reserves the right to make such additional objections as may be appropriate and nothing contained herein shall be in any way construed as a waiver of any such objection. By making this response, Broadcom does not concede that any of the requested information is relevant or properly discoverable or admissible and reserves its right to object to discovery into the subject matter addressed in any information produced and to the introduction of such information into evidence.

1. Service of this document should not be construed as any waiver of any and all objections that Broadcom has to the propriety and timing of the Requests, or to the relevance or admissibility of any information relating to the subjects covered by the Requests.

2. These responses and objections are made solely for the purposes of this action, and are subject to all appropriate objections, including without limitation objections concerning competency, relevance, materiality, propriety, authenticity, and admissibility. All objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

3. Plaintiffs have previously agreed that responding to their written discovery requests does not constitute waiver of any of Defendants' defenses, including their defenses for lack of jurisdiction and improper venue.

4. Broadcom objects to each Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to each Request

relating to the Court's jurisdiction over Broadcom as the Court has yet to (1) conclude that Plaintiffs have adequately made a *prima facie* showing of personal jurisdiction; or (2) order that Plaintiffs are entitled to jurisdictional discovery.

5. Broadcom objects to each Request on the basis that this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this litigation.

6. Broadcom objects to each instruction, definition, and Request to the extent that it exceeds the permissible scope of discovery and the requirements set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as interpreted by relevant case law, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Local Rules of the Eastern District of Tennessee, any Order of the Court, or any agreement between the parties.

7. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent that it seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

8. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent that the information sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative or can be obtained from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

9. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it is compound.

10. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it is vague, ambiguous, or overbroad. Broadcom responds to each Request as it reasonably interprets and understands the Request. If SNMP subsequently asserts an interpretation of any Request that differs from Broadcom's understanding, Broadcom reserves the right to supplement its responses and objections thereto.

11. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it is unduly burdensome and will

cause Broadcom unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or undue expense.

12. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it requires Broadcom to produce information not in the possession, custody, or control of Broadcom. Broadcom's responses and objections shall not be construed as representations regarding the existence or non-existence of information outside its possession, custody, or control. Broadcom also objects to each Request to the extent it seeks information that is public, already in the possession, custody, or control of, or is equally accessible to SNMP.

13. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Any inadvertent disclosure of such information shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege, doctrine, law, rule, or immunity with respect to such information.

14. Broadcom objects to each Request as premature. Broadcom has only begun its factual investigations in this matter and has yet to be provided copies of the allegedly copyrighted software and/or source code that SNMP claims has been infringed.

15. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it seeks premature expert discovery, including but not limited to expert analysis and opinion.

16. Broadcom objects to these Requests to the extent they seek information protected by the California, Tennessee, or United States Constitutions, or applicable state or federal statutes or case law that establish a right of privacy and forbid the discovery and dissemination of confidential, sensitive, or financial information. Broadcom will not reveal such information where

doing so would violate its privacy rights or the privacy rights of third parties or where such information is confidential and proprietary in nature.

17. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it requires Broadcom to reveal confidential, sensitive, and proprietary information, including but not limited to financial information.

18. Broadcom objects to each Request to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to an individual Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

19. Broadcom objects to the definition of “SNMP Research” as meaning “SNMP Research, Inc. and SNMP Research International, Inc., their predecessors and predecessors-in-interest, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives of each of the foregoing, including all other Persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of one or more of them.” This definition is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, seeks irrelevant information that is not proportional to the needs of the case, and renders the Requests impermissibly compound. It also seeks information that is unknown to Broadcom and therefore requires Broadcom to first seek discovery from Plaintiffs before it can answer the Requests as framed. If there are specific persons or entities that Plaintiffs believe should be subsumed within this definition, Plaintiffs should propose them specifically.

20. Broadcom objects to SNMP’s definition of “You,” “Your,” and “Broadcom” as including “Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it.” This definition is overbroad and seeks

information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding to discovery under this definition would be unduly burdensome. The definition also renders these Requests compound, nonsensical, and confusing because Broadcom Inc.'s "affiliated entities" would include, for example, Brocade Communications Systems LLC.

21. Broadcom objects to SNMP's definition of "Brocade" as meaning "Brocade Communications Systems LLC, its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it." This definition is overbroad and applies to companies that are not party to this litigation and seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding to discovery under this definition of Brocade would be unduly burdensome. The definition also renders these Requests compound, nonsensical and confusing because Brocade's "affiliated entities" would include, for example, Broadcom Inc.

22. Broadcom objects to SNMP's definition of "Extreme" because it is overbroad and seeks information that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Responding to discovery under this definition would be unduly burdensome. It also seeks information outside the knowledge of Broadcom.

23. Broadcom objects to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software" as meaning "any of the following: (i) software provided by SNMP Research to Brocade, (ii) software licensed

by SNMP Research to Brocade, or (iii) any software created by SNMP Research which is or was in the possession of Brocade and/or Broadcom. . . . The foregoing categories include Source Code, compiled code, binary code, configuration files and data, associated documentation, and Derivative Works thereof.” This definition is overbroad because it includes the terms “SNMP Research,” “Brocade,” “Broadcom,” “Source Code,” and “Derivative Works,” which in turn are overbroad as defined. The definition also includes vague and ambiguous undefined terms like “compiled code,” “configuration files and data,” and “associated documentation.” SNMP alleges acts of copyright infringement and breach of contract based on the software set forth in Paragraph 33, Table 1 of the Complaint (ECF No. 1) and based on one License Agreement and amendments thereto. This definition is not limited to such alleged software or License Agreement. Including all software created by “SNMP Research” provided to Brocade or Broadcom (under Plaintiffs’ overbroad definitions of those entities) renders the Requests incorporating this definition overbroad, unduly burdensome, compound, not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

24. Broadcom objects to SNMP’s definition of “Product” as referring to “each item that can be or has at any time been able to be separately ordered or distributed or provided as an update to an existing Product, whether sold, leased, licensed, sublicensed, whether enabled or not, whether revenue bearing or not, including, but not limited to, hardware, software, services, or combinations of hardware, software, or services.” Requests incorporating this definition are overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous, not relevant to the claims or defenses in this action, not proportional to the needs of this case, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

25. Broadcom objects to the time period for these Requests as vague, overbroad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, and not proportional to the needs of the case, particularly where a Request does not specify a relevant time period or seeks information before the date SNMP alleges it terminated the License Agreement and after this lawsuit was filed.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

Broadcom incorporates into each Response below the Preliminary Statement and each of the foregoing General Objections in full. Subject to and without waiving the Preliminary Statement and foregoing General Objections, Broadcom sets forth its specific responses and objections below.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit You received SNMP Research Software.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "You" and "SNMP Research Software," the vague term "received," and the unlimited time period. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. Under SNMP's definitions, "You" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or

source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, Defendant Broadcom Inc. admits Defendant Brocade Communications Systems LLC entered into a license agreement with SNMP Research International, Inc. and received software pursuant to it, and further admits that Brocade Communications Systems LLC is Broadcom Inc.'s indirect subsidiary. Subject to receiving discovery from Plaintiffs and expert analysis, Broadcom Inc. denies that it or Brocade Communications Systems LLC received the software listed in Paragraph 33, Table 1 of the Complaint (ECF No. 1) or that Plaintiffs' software is subject to copyright protection.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit You received Version 16.2.0.9 of SNMP Research Software.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "You" and "Version 16.2.0.9 of SNMP Research Software," the vague term "received," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "You" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Indeed, Table 1 of the Complaint does not refer to a Version 16.2.0.9 and this version is not defined in the Requests. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the

admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

For the purposes of these objections and responses, Broadcom construes the terms "You," "Your," and "Broadcom" to mean only the specific entity named as a defendant in this action, Broadcom Inc. Broadcom further construes the term "SNMP Research" to mean only the specific named plaintiffs in the present action—SNMP Research, Inc. and SNMP Research International, Inc.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, Defendant Broadcom Inc. admits Defendant Brocade Communications Systems LLC entered into a license agreement with SNMP Research International, Inc. and received EMANATE/Lite Agent Version 16.2.0.9 pursuant to it, and further admits that Brocade Communications Systems LLC is Broadcom Inc.'s indirect subsidiary. Subject to receiving discovery from Plaintiffs and expert analysis, Broadcom Inc. denies that EMANATE/Lite Agent Version 16.2.0.9 is listed in Paragraph 33, Table 1 of the Complaint (ECF No. 1) or is subject to copyright protection.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit You inserted, added, or otherwise incorporated SNMP Research Software in Your Products.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections,

which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "You," "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "inserted, added, or otherwise incorporated," and the unlimited time period. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. Under SNMP's definitions, "You" and "Your" are defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit You inserted, added, or otherwise incorporated SNMP Research Software in Products that shipped between July 25, 2019 and the present date.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "You," "SNMP

Research Software,” “Your,” and “Products” and the vague term “inserted, added, or otherwise incorporated.” Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. Under SNMP’s definitions, “You” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. The specified time period is also overbroad as it extends beyond the filing of this lawsuit. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection,

including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit SNMP Research Software was compiled and linked into Your Products.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague terms "compiled and linked into," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs'

predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software was compiled and linked into Your Products.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague terms "compiled and linked into," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are

beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Indeed, Table 1 of the Complaint does not even refer to a Version 16.2.0.9 and this version is not defined in the Requests. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request

pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit SNMP Research Software was compiled and linked into Your Products Identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and objections to Interrogatory number 1, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague terms "compiled and linked into," the unlimited time period, and the categories required to be identified in Interrogatory number 1. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope

of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion. Moreover, no Products were identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Broadcom Inc. identified no products in response to Interrogatory number 1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software was compiled and linked into Your Products Identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and objections to Interrogatory number 1, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague terms "compiled and linked into," the unlimited time period, and the categories required to be identified in Interrogatory number 1. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Indeed, Table 1 of the Complaint does not even refer to a Version 16.2.0.9 and this version is not defined in the Requests. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code.

Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion. Moreover, no Products were identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Broadcom Inc. identified no products in response to Interrogatory number 1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit SNMP Research Software was shipped in Your Products.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research

Software,” “Your,” and “Products,” the vague term “shipped in Your Products,” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Your” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the

joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software was shipped in Your Products.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and

subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Indeed, Table 1 of the Complaint does not even refer to a Version 16.2.0.9 and this version is not defined in the Requests. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit SNMP Research Software was shipped in Your Products Identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and objections to Interrogatory number 1, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, duplicative, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," the unlimited time period, and the categories required to be identified in Interrogatory number 1. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are

unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion. Moreover, no Products were identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Broadcom Inc. identified no products in response to Interrogatory number 1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software was shipped in Your Products Identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement, General Objections, and objections to Interrogatory number 1, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Version 16.2.0.9 of the SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," the unlimited time period, and the categories required to be identified in Interrogatory number 1. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Indeed, Table 1 of the Complaint does not even refer to a Version 16.2.0.9 and this version is not defined in the Requests. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source

code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion. Moreover, no Products were identified in response to Interrogatory number 1.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Broadcom Inc. identified no products in response to Interrogatory number 1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-706-718.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague,

ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over

Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Your" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-772-248.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and

overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over

Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-706-250.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021

and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control

and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Your" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-738-956.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of

confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the

Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-707-158.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-738-954.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of

Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly

overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff's overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-707-157.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "SNMP Research Software," "Your," and "Products," the vague term "shipped in Your Products," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects

to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys,

accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff’s overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit the SNMP Research Software shipped in Your Products was registered with the Copyright Office through registration TXu 1-738-958.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “SNMP Research Software,” “Your,” and “Products,” the vague term “shipped in Your Products,” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Your” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including

its software, copyright registrations and deposits, and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. In particular, Broadcom does not waive any challenge to SNMP's registration.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities,

including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date limitation, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff’s overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that You did not have SNMP Research’s permission to transfer SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, argumentative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “You,” “SNMP Research,” “SNMP Research Software,” and “Extreme,” the vague terms “permission” and “transfer,” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “You” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including

its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys,

accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” its defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a vague and overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and did not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that You asked for SNMP Research International, Inc. to consent to the transfer of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “You,” “SNMP Research International, Inc.,” “SNMP Research Software,” and “Extreme,” the vague terms “asked,” “consent,” and “the transfer,” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “You” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly

burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff

defined “You” to include numerous entities and individuals, including “Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and did not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiff’s overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that SNMP Research International, Inc. did not consent to the transfer of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “SNMP Research International, Inc.,” “SNMP Research Software,” and “Extreme,” the vague terms “consent” and “the transfer,” and the unlimited time period. SNMP defines SNMP Research to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and

is therefore impossible to answer. This Request is also vague and ambiguous as it does not specify which transfer it is referring to or which entity did not receive consent or what time period is covered by this Request. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "You" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a vague and overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and did not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that, prior to the transfer taking place, Broadcom was aware that SNMP Research Software was going to be transferred (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "SNMP Research Software," and "Extreme," the vague terms "prior to the transfer taking place," "aware" and "going to be transferred (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise)," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include,

inter alia, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. Broadcom further objects to the extent that this Request seeks information prior to the date SNMP alleged Brocade terminated the License Agreement and after the date this lawsuit was filed.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information,

documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and does not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that Broadcom was involved, directly or indirectly, in the transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of

“Broadcom,” “SNMP Research Software,” and “Extreme,” the vague terms “involved, directly or indirectly” and “transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise),” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for

this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and does not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that Broadcom facilitated the transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague,

ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "SNMP Research Software," and "Extreme," the vague terms "facilitated" and "transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise)," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over

Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and does not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that Broadcom made affirmative efforts to support the transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections,

which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "SNMP Research Software," and "Extreme," the vague terms "made affirmative efforts to support" and "transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise)," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this

Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and does not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that Broadcom directed the transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021

and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "SNMP Research Software," and "Extreme," the vague terms "directed" and "transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise)," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control

and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, included no date parameters, and does not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that Broadcom acted as Brocade's agent in connection with the transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise) of SNMP Research Software to Extreme.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as unintelligible, vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "Brocade," "SNMP Research Software," and "Extreme," the vague terms "agent," "in connection with," and "transfer (by disclosure, license, sale, or otherwise)," and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. Brocade, in turn, is defined to include, *inter alia*, Broadcom and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. This Request is nonsensical because SNMP has defined Brocade to include Broadcom and vice versa, as well as all other affiliated entities and more. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of

Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software in a similarly overbroad fashion, did not define what it means by agent in this context, included no date parameters, and

did not identify the transfer in question, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that Broadcom makes or provides Products that contain SNMP Research Software.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague and undefined terms "makes or provides" and "contain," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a

similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Admit that Broadcom has previously made or provided Products that contain SNMP Research Software.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, duplicative, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague term "previously made or provided" and "contain," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code.

Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys,

accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs’ overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. attempts to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.,” “Products,” and “SNMP Research Software,” the vague and undefined terms “attempts to distribute” and “containing,” and the undefined time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as

premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to

include numerous entities and individuals, including “Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs’ overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempts to distribute, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has previously attempted to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, duplicative, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.,” “Products,” and “SNMP Research Software,” the vague and undefined term “previously attempted to” and “distribute” and “containing,” and the undefined time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope

of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempts to distribute, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. attempts to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software to Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, duplicative, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague and undefined terms "attempts to distribute" and "containing," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated

entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection,

including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempts to distribute, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has previously attempted to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software to Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:

In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products,"

and “SNMP Research Software,” the vague terms “previously attempted to,” “distribute,” and “containing,” and the undefined time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for

this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempted to distribute, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. attempts to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software to an area encompassing all or part of the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the undefined and vague terms "attempts to distribute," and "containing," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of

confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempts to distribute,

denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has previously attempted to distribute Products containing SNMP Research Software to an area encompassing all or part of the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, duplicative, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague terms "previously attempted to distribute" and "containing," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert

discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all

divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs’ overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by attempted to distribute, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 38:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. advertises or markets Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 38:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.,” “Products,” and “SNMP Research Software,” the vague and undefined terms “advertises or markets” and the undefined time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly

burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether

the term “Broadcom Inc.” as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff’s overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined “Broadcom” to include numerous entities and individuals, including “Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs’ overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 39:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has previously advertised or marketed Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 39:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.,” “Products,” and “SNMP Research Software,” the vague and undefined terms “previously advertised or marketed” and “containing,” and the undefined time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and

includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 40:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. affirmatively attempts to advertise or market Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 40:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague terms "affirmatively attempts to" and "advertise or market," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is

similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection,

including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or understanding what Plaintiff means by "affirmatively attempts," denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 41:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has previously made affirmative attempts to advertise or market Products containing SNMP Research Software nationwide.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 41:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague,

ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc.," "Products," and "SNMP Research Software," the vague and undefined terms "previously made affirmative attempts to" and "advertise or market," and the undefined time period. Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs' predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over

Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," defined SNMP Research and SNMP Research Software and Products in a similarly overbroad fashion, and included no date parameters, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions or what Plaintiffs means by "made affirmative attempts," denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 42:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has employees who work in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 42:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc." and the vague terms "employees" and "work." Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. Under SNMP's definition, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for

this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 43:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has employees who are located in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 43:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections,

which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc." and the vague terms "employees" and "are located in." Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. Under SNMP's definition, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection,

including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed. Without accepting Plaintiffs' overbroad definitions, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 44:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has agents who live in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 44:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc." and the vague terms "agents" and "live in." Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. It is also

defined to include “agents.” Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and because the term "agents" as used in this Request is grossly overbroad, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 45:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has agents who reside in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 45:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "Broadcom Inc." and the vague terms "agents" and "reside in." Under SNMP's definitions, "Broadcom Inc." is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. It is also defined to include "agents." Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP's definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom

further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to

include numerous entities and individuals, including “Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” and because the term “agents” as used in this Request is grossly overbroad, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 46:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has agents who are located in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 46:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.” and the vague terms “agents” and “are located in.” Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. It is also defined to include “agents.” Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion\.. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants,

and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it,” and because the term “agents” as used in this Request is grossly overbroad, Broadcom is unable to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 47:

Admit that Broadcom Inc. has agents who are found in the East Grand Division of Tennessee.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 47:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, duplicative, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Broadcom Inc.” and the vague terms “agents” and “are found in.” Under SNMP’s definitions, “Broadcom Inc.” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. It is also defined to include “agents.” Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope under SNMP’s definitions to these terms would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP’s possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion. Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, but Broadcom is willing to do so. It is unclear whether the term "Broadcom Inc." as used in this Request is intended only to refer to the defendant in this action or Plaintiff's overbroad definition of Broadcom. Because Plaintiff defined "Broadcom" to include numerous entities and individuals, including "Broadcom Inc., its predecessors, predecessors-in-interest, successors, successors-in-interest, affiliated entities, including all divisions and subsidiaries, and the officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, and representatives, including all other Persons or entities acting or purporting to act on behalf of it," and because the term "agents" as used in this Request is grossly overbroad, Broadcom is unable

to respond to this Request as framed.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 48:

Admit the License Agreement is a valid and binding contract.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 48:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding a contract that SNMP defines to be “between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc.” Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom’s possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Plaintiff defines the License Agreement to be “between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc.” Thus, this Request should be directed to Brocade to the extent it is answerable. The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court’s June 25, 2021 ruling, particularly as it pertains to Broadcom, but Broadcom is willing to do so.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 49:

Admit the License Agreement required Brocade to keep the SNMP Research Source Code confidential.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 49:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding a contract that SNMP defines to be “between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc.” Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. Broadcom further objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “Brocade” and “SNMP Research Source Code,” the vague term “required ... to keep ... confidential,” and the unlimited time period. Under SNMP’s definitions, “Brocade” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Broadcom Inc. and all other affiliated entities. SNMP Research is similarly defined to include, *inter alia*, both Plaintiffs’ predecessors, agents, representatives, divisions, and subsidiaries, which are unknown to Broadcom. The definition of SNMP Research Software

incorporates these overbroad entity definitions, and includes software, Source Code, and Derivative Works that are beyond the scope of this lawsuit. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of multiple facts and is therefore impossible to answer. Conducting an investigation of such broad scope would be unduly burdensome. The Request as framed seeks information that is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of the case. Broadcom further objects to this Request as premature as it first requires review of information in SNMP's possession, including its software and/or source code. Broadcom further objects to this Request as seeking premature expert discovery, analysis, and opinion.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent the information is not in Broadcom's possession, custody, or control and is in the possession, custody, or control of third parties or Plaintiffs. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, Broadcom responds as follows:

Plaintiff defines the License Agreement to be "between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc." Thus, this Request should be directed to Brocade

to the extent it is answerable. The parties have not yet met and conferred regarding the meaning and scope of this Request pursuant to the Court's June 25, 2021 ruling, particularly as it pertains to Broadcom, but Broadcom is willing to do so.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 50:

Admit that You are relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense of this action.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 50:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding a contract that SNMP defines to be "between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc." . Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. Broadcom further objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP's definition of "You" and "Your," and the vague terms "relying, either in whole or in part" and "Your defense of this action." Under SNMP's definitions, "You" and "Your" is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. Under SNMP's definitions, this Request seeks the admission of more than one fact and is therefore impossible to answer. Broadcom further objects to this Request as prematurely seeking Broadcom's contentions and defenses because neither Broadcom nor Brocade has filed an answer in this case and the breach of contract claim is subject to a pending motion to dismiss. Broadcom's investigation of Plaintiffs' claims and discovery related thereto is ongoing.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, the and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 51:

Admit that You are not relying, either in whole or in part, on the License Agreement as part of Your defense of this action.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 51:

Broadcom incorporates its original objections to this Request served on January 25, 2021 and supplements as follows: In addition to the Preliminary Statement and General Objections, which are incorporated herein by this reference, Broadcom objects to this Request because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding a contract that SNMP defines to be “between Brocade Communications Systems LLC and SNMP Research International, Inc.” . Any response of Broadcom to this Request is not intended to be, and shall not be construed as, an admission that any factual or legal predicate stated in the Request is accurate. Broadcom further objects to this Request as vague, ambiguous, compound, and overbroad, particularly as to SNMP’s definition of “You” and “Your” and the vague term “relying, either in whole or in part” and “Your defense of

this action.” Under SNMP’s definitions, “You” and “Your” is defined to include, *inter alia*, Brocade and all other affiliated entities. Under SNMP’s definitions, this Request seeks the admission of more than one fact and is therefore impossible to answer. Broadcom further objects to this Request as prematurely seeking Broadcom’s contentions and defenses because neither Broadcom nor Brocade has filed an answer in this case and the breach of contract claim is subject to a pending motion to dismiss. Broadcom’s investigation of Plaintiffs’ claims and discovery related thereto is ongoing.

Broadcom further objects to this Request to the extent it requires the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information of Broadcom and third parties. Broadcom objects to this Request on the basis that the Court overseeing this litigation lacks personal jurisdiction over Broadcom. Broadcom further objects to this Request as this jurisdiction is an improper venue for this action.

Broadcom objects to this Request to the extent it seeks disclosure of any information, documents, or other tangible things that is protected by any applicable privilege or protection, including without limitation the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint client privilege, the joint defense or common interest privilege, the constitutional right to privacy, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: October 4, 2021

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP

By: /s/ Alison Plessman

Alison Plessman

Attorneys for Defendants

Broadcom Inc.

Brocade Communications Systems LLC

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over
3 the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 523 West
4 6th Street, Suite 400, Los Angeles, CA 90014.

5 On October 4, 2021, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

6 **BROADCOM INC.'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SNMP
7 RESEARCH, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**

8 on the interested parties in this action as stated below:

9 John L. Wood, Esq.
10 Cheryl G. Rice, Esq.
11 Rameen J. Nasrollahi,
12 EGERTON, McAFEE, ARMISTEAD
13 & DAVIS, P.C.
14 900 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
15 P.O. Box 2047
16 Knoxville, TN 37902
17 jwood@emlaw.com
18 crice@emlaw.com
19 rnasrollahi@emlaw.com

Morgan Chu
David Nimmer
A. Matthew Ashley
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
mchu@irell.com
dnimmer@irell.com
mashley@irell.com

20 ☒ (BY E-MAIL) By transmitting the documents listed above to the e-mail
21 addresses set forth above.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
23 America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am employed in the office
24 of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

25 Executed on October 4, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

(Type or print name)

Debi Del Grande
(Signature)